

Automorphisms of the UHF algebra that do not extend to the Cuntz algebra

Roberto Conti

March 10, 2010

Abstract

Automorphisms of the canonical core UHF-subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_n$ of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ do not necessarily extend to automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Simple examples are discussed within the family of infinite tensor products of (inner) automorphisms of the matrix algebras M_n . In that case, necessary and sufficient conditions for the extension property are presented. It is also addressed the problem of extending to $\mathcal{O}_n$ the automorphisms of the diagonal $\mathcal{D}_n$, which is a regular MASA with Cantor spectrum. In particular, it is shown the existence of product-type automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_n$ that are not extensible to (possibly proper) endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$.

MSC 2000: 46L40, 46L05, 37B10

Keywords: Cuntz algebra, endomorphism, automorphism, core *UHF*-subalgebra, regular MASA.

1 Introduction

Among C^* -algebras, the class of UHF algebras is perhaps one of the first nontrivial (i.e., infinite-dimensional) examples that comes to mind and it has been investigated in depth in the outstanding work by Glimm in the early 60s, [9]. Since then, the study of C^* -algebras has enjoyed a dramatic increase in perspectives and results. At some point later the Cuntz algebras $\mathcal{O}_n$ made their appearance on stage, [5]. These C^* -algebras are quite different from UHF algebras as, for instance, they are traceless (and purely infinite). However, it is well-known that $\mathcal{O}_n$ contains in canonical fashion a copy of the UHF algebra of type n^∞ (that is, the C^* -algebraic tensor product of countably infinite copies of M_n , the $n \times n$ matrix algebra over $\mathbb{C}$) as the canonical core UHF-subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_n$.

In contrast to the case of $\mathcal{F}_n$, the study of automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$ is quite challenging. Typical problems range from the construction of explicit examples to global properties of the group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ and some notable subgroups and quotients.

Among other things, the group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{F}_n)$ of all automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$ leaving $\mathcal{F}_n$ globally invariant has sporadically made its appearance in the literature, [6, 3, 4]. (Besides, it turns out that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{F}_n) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n) \cap \{\lambda_u \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}_n)\}$ and thus the examples of permutation automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$ exhibited in [3] restrict to automorphisms of $\mathcal{F}_n$ that are also easily seen to be outer.) In this short note, we will add another piece of information by showing that the canonical restriction map

$$r : \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{F}_n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_n) \tag{1}$$

is not surjective. (By [4, Corollary 4.10], the kernel of this group homomorphism consists precisely of the gauge automorphism.) A few remarks are in order. First of all, it is plain that all inner automorphisms of $\mathcal{F}_n$ extend to (inner) automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Secondly, it is well known (see e.g. [7, Coro IV.5.8]) that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_n) = \overline{\text{Inn}(\mathcal{F}_n)}$, that is every automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_n$ is approximately inner in the sense that it is the limit of inner automorphisms of $\mathcal{F}_n$ in the topology of pointwise norm-convergence. Therefore our result in particular shows the existence of sequences of inner automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$ that converge pointwise in norm on $\mathcal{F}_n$ but not on $\mathcal{O}_n$. Moreover it makes it clear that there are strict inclusions (cf. [1])

$$\text{Inn}(\mathcal{F}_n) \subsetneq r(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{F}_n)) \subsetneq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_n). \tag{2}$$

Finally, we deduce that there are automorphisms of $\mathcal{F}_n$ that do not extend to $\mathcal{O}_n$ even as proper endomorphisms as the latter possibility has been ruled out in [4, Corollary 4.9]. On the contrary, this last fact is not true for the canonical Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_n$ of $\mathcal{O}_n$ for there are proper endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$ that restrict to automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_n$, see [3]. Still one can show the existence of automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_n$ that do not extend to endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$.

A few words on the notation (cf. [3]): for each integer $n \geq 2$ the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by n isometries $S_1, \dots, S_n$ whose ranges sum up to 1. There is a one-to-one correspondence $v \mapsto \lambda_v$ between unitaries in $\mathcal{O}_n$ and unital $*$ -endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$ that associates to $v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ the endomorphism λ_v defined by $\lambda_v(S_i) = vS_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. The canonical core UHF-subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_n$ is the norm-closure of the union of the matrix subalgebras $\mathcal{F}_n^k \simeq M_n \otimes \dots \otimes M_n$ (k factors), where

$$\mathcal{F}_n^k = \text{span}\{S_{\alpha_1} \dots S_{\alpha_k} S_{\beta_k}^* \dots S_{\beta_1}^*, 1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \leq n\}.$$

$\mathcal{F}_n$ possesses a unique normalized trace, which we denote τ . $\mathcal{D}_n$ is the diagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_n$ and it is the norm-closure of the union of the commutative algebras $\mathcal{D}_n^k = \mathcal{D}_n \cap \mathcal{F}_n^k \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n^k}$. We denote by φ the canonical endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$, defined by $\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i x S_i^*$. This shift endomorphism satisfies $S_i a = \varphi(a) S_i$ for all $a \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and all $i = 1, \dots, n$. For a unital C^* -algebra B , $\text{Aut}(B)$ and $\text{Inn}(B)$ are the groups of automorphisms and inner automorphisms of B , respectively. For unital C^* -algebras $A \subset B$, $\text{Aut}(B, A)$ and $\text{Aut}_A(B)$ are the group of automorphisms of B leaving A globally and pointwise invariant, respectively.

2 Main result

We refer to [8, Chapter VI] for generalities about the UHF algebras and their automorphisms. In order to simplify the notation we will often represent elements of $\mathcal{F}_n$ by tensor products of matrices, through the canonical identification of $\mathcal{F}_n$ with $\otimes_{i=1}^\infty M_n$.

Given a sequence of unitaries $\underline{u} = (u_i)$ with $u_i \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}_n^1) \simeq U(n)$, we consider the associated automorphism $\alpha_{\underline{u}}$ of $\mathcal{F}_n$ such that, for all multiindices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ of the same length k and for all $k \geq 1$,

$$\alpha_{\underline{u}}(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) = u_1 S_{\alpha_1} u_2 S_{\alpha_2} \dots u_k S_{\alpha_k} S_{\beta_k}^* u_k^* \dots S_{\beta_2}^* u_2^* S_{\beta_1}^* u_1^* \quad (3)$$

In the tensor product picture $\alpha_{\underline{u}}$ is nothing but the infinite tensor product automorphism $\otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Ad}(u_i)$. It is also clear that if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \dots \otimes u_k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots =: u$ exists in $\otimes_i M_n$ then $\otimes_i \text{Ad}(u_i) = \text{Ad}(u)$ is inner, while the converse holds true whenever $1 \in \sigma(u_i)$, for all i 's (the latter assumption can always be satisfied by rotating the u_i 's if necessary), see [8, Theorem 6.3].

Of course, if $\alpha_{\underline{u}} = \text{Ad}(u)$ is inner then it extends to an inner automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$, namely $\lambda_{u\varphi(u^*)}$. It is quite possible that even though $\alpha_{\underline{u}}$ is outer, it still extends to an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$. A simple such example arises in the case where $\underline{u}$ is a nonscalar constant sequence: $u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = \dots$. Then $\alpha_{\underline{u}} = \otimes_i \text{Ad}(u_1)$ is outer on $\mathcal{F}_n$ and extends to the (still outer) Bogolubov automorphism λ_{u_1} of $\mathcal{O}_n$. At this point one could suspect that the possibility of extending $\alpha_{\underline{u}}$ to $\mathcal{O}_n$ depends on whether

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_1 \otimes u_2 u_1^* \otimes u_3 u_2^* \otimes \dots \otimes u_{k+1} u_k^* \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \dots \quad (4)$$

exists in $\otimes_i M_n$. This is indeed the case, as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $u_1, u_2, u_2, \dots$ be an infinite sequence of unitaries in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}_n^1)$. If the limit in equation (4) exists and thus defines a unitary $v \in \mathcal{F}_n$ then λ_v is an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$ such that, in restriction to $\mathcal{F}_n$, λ_v coincides with $\alpha_{\underline{u}} = \otimes_i \text{Ad}(u_i)$. Conversely, suppose that $\alpha_{\underline{u}}$ extends to an endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Then there are phases $(e^{i\theta_k})_k$ such that the limit in eq. (4) exists for the sequence (u'_k) , where $u'_k = e^{i\theta_k} u_k$.*

Proof. If v is defined by the limit as above, then one can easily check that λ_v coincides with $\alpha_{\underline{u}}$ in restriction to $\mathcal{F}_n$, and thus $\lambda_v \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ by [4, Corollary 4.9].

Conversely, let λ_w be an endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$ such that $\lambda_w(x) = \alpha_{\underline{u}}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Then $\lambda_w \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ and $w \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Now,

$$w S_i S_j^* w^* = u_1 S_i S_j^* u_1^*$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and thus $w^* u_1 \in (\mathcal{F}_n^1)' \cap \mathcal{F}_n = \varphi(\mathcal{F}_n)$, that is $w = u_1 \varphi(z_1)$ for some $z_1 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}_n)$. But then

$$w \varphi(w) S_i S_j S_k^* S_h^* \varphi(w^*) w^* = u_1 \varphi(u_2) S_i S_j S_k^* S_h^* \varphi(u_2^*) u_1^*$$

for all $i, j, h, k = 1, \dots, n$, that is $\varphi(w^*) w^* u_1 \varphi(u_2) \in (\mathcal{F}_n^2)' \cap \mathcal{F}_n = \varphi^2(\mathcal{F}_n)$. By the above, this means that $w^* z_1^* u_2 = \varphi(z_1^*) u_1^* z_1^* u_2 \in \varphi(\mathcal{F}_n)$. Thus $u_1^* z_1^* u_2 =$

$\varphi(z_2^*) \in \varphi(\mathcal{F}_n)$ for some unitary $z_2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}_n)$ from which $z_1^* = u_1\varphi(z_2^*)u_2^* = \varphi(z_2^*)u_1u_2^*$ and consequently

$$w = u_1\varphi(u_2u_1^*)\varphi^2(z_2) .$$

Repeating this argument one obtains that, for each positive integer k ,

$$w = u_1\varphi(u_2u_1^*)\varphi^2(u_3u_2^*) \cdots \varphi^k(u_{k+1}u_k^*)\varphi^{k+1}(z_{k+1})$$

for a suitable unitary $z_k \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Moreover, one can find a sequence $(e^{i\theta_k})_k$ such that, after replacing u_k with $e^{i\theta_k}u_k$, one can always assume that $\tau(z_k) \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Consider now the τ -invariant conditional expectation $E_k : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n^k$, $E_k = \text{id}_k \otimes \tau$, with id_k the identity on $\mathcal{F}_n^k$, for which one has $x = \lim_k (E_k(x))$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}_n$. By the above, it is clear that, for all $k \geq 1$, $E_k(w) = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{k+1}u_k^* \tau(z_{k+1})$ and thus $1 = \|w\| = \lim_k \|u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{k+1}u_k^* \tau(z_{k+1})\| = \lim_k \tau(z_{k+1})$. That is,

$$\lim_k \|w - u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{k+1}u_k^*\| = \lim_k \|w - u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{k+1}u_k^* \tau(z_{k+1})\| = 0 .$$

□

Automorphisms of $\mathcal{F}_n$ of the form $\alpha_{\underline{u}}$ satisfy $\alpha_{\underline{u}}(\mathcal{F}_n^k) = \mathcal{F}_n^k$ for every k . An endomorphism of the Cuntz algebra is said to be localized if it is induced by a unitary in some finite matrix algebra, i.e. in $\bigcup_k \mathcal{F}_n^k$, [2].

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that $\alpha_{\underline{u}}$ extends to an automorphism of the Cuntz algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *one extension of $\alpha_{\underline{u}}$ is localized;*
- (b) *all extensions of $\alpha_{\underline{u}}$ are localized;*
- (c) *one has eventually $u_{k+1}u_k^* \in \mathbb{T}1$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that any two such extensions must differ by a gauge automorphism and also that a unitary implementing one of them is obtained through equation (4) up to phases, as explained above. □

3 Outlook

We would like to mention a few related problems. On one hand, one should investigate the detailed structure of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{F}_n)$ and find an intrinsic characterization of automorphisms of $\mathcal{F}_n$ that extend to $\mathcal{O}_n$. On the other hand, one should study the analogous problems for the extension of automorphisms from the diagonal $\mathcal{D}_n$ to $\mathcal{F}_n$ and from $\mathcal{D}_n$ to $\mathcal{O}_n$.

In this respect, we can add something more about extension of automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_n$ to $\mathcal{O}_n$. Especially, we are now ready to show that not all automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_n$ are extensible to (possibly proper) endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$. This will easily follow also from Theorem 3.3 below, but the following observation is more in line with the criterion given in Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 3.1. *Let α be a product type automorphism of the diagonal, i.e. $\alpha(\varphi^k(\mathcal{D}_n^1)) = \varphi^k(\mathcal{D}_n^1)$ for all $k \geq 0$. Then α extends to a (possibly proper) endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$ if and only if the action on each $\varphi^k(\mathcal{D}_n^1)$ is eventually identical. In that case, α extends to a permutation automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$.*

Proof. Suppose that α extends to an endomorphism λ_u of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Then we have $\lambda_u(\varphi^k(\mathcal{D}_n^1)) = \varphi^k(\mathcal{D}_n^1)$ for all k and an easy induction shows that $\text{Ad}(u)$ preserves each $\varphi^k(\mathcal{D}_n^1)$. Thus, in particular, u is in the normalizer of $\mathcal{D}_n$. Consequently (see [10]), $u = wv$ with $w \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}_n)$, where $\mathcal{S}_n$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ of unitaries that can be written as finite sum of words in S_i and S_i^* . But then it follows that the restriction α of λ_u to $\mathcal{D}_n$ coincides with the restriction of λ_w to $\mathcal{D}_n$. Thus we have that $\text{Ad}(w)$ preserves each of $\varphi^k(\mathcal{D}_n^1)$. It follows that the restriction to $\mathcal{D}_n$ of the trace τ is $\text{Ad}(w)$ -invariant. This however is only possible if w belongs to $\mathcal{F}_n$, that is w is a permutation matrix. Since $\text{Ad}(w)$ preserves each of $\varphi^k(\mathcal{D}_n^1)$ it follows that we have $w = w_1\varphi(w_2)\varphi^2(w_3) \cdots \varphi^r(w_{r+1})$ for some positive integer r and permutation matrices w_j in $\mathcal{F}_n^1$, $j = 1, \dots, r$. This implies that the restriction of λ_w to $\varphi^k(\mathcal{F}_n^1)$ coincides with such restriction of $\text{Ad}(\varphi^k(w_{k+1}w_k \cdots w_2w_1))$. This means that λ_w in restriction to $\mathcal{F}_n$ is a product type automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_n$ for which the limit in equation (4) equals 1 (actually the terms of the sequence eventually stabilize), and thus by Theorem 2.1 λ_w is (and thus α extends to) an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$. $\square$

We denote by $\text{EndAut}(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)$ the subsemigroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ of those endomorphisms that restrict to automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_n$ and by $\text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ the

subsemigroup of $\text{EndAut}(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)$ of those endomorphisms acting trivially on $\mathcal{D}_n$. By the analysis in [3], it is already known that

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{D}_n) \subsetneq \text{EndAut}(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{D}_n) .$$

However, the following result is already implicit in [6].

Proposition 3.2. *With the above notation one has*

$$\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\mathcal{O}_n) = \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\mathcal{O}_n).$$

Proof. Let u be a unitary in $\mathcal{O}_n$. If $\lambda_u(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{D}_n$ then it is easy to see by induction on k that u commutes with $\varphi^k(\mathcal{D}_n^1)$ for all $k \geq 1$, and therefore

$$u \in \left(\bigcup_{k \geq 0} \varphi^k(\mathcal{D}_n^1) \right)' \cap \mathcal{O}_n = \mathcal{D}_n' \cap \mathcal{O}_n .$$

$\mathcal{D}_n$ being a MASA in $\mathcal{O}_n$ one thus has that $u \in \mathcal{D}_n$ and therefore $\lambda_u \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ by [6]. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *The restriction map*

$$r : \text{EndAut}(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{D}_n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_n) \tag{5}$$

is not surjective. Furthermore, $r(\text{EndAut}(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{D}_n))$ is not a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_n)$, and it is the disjoint union of the subgroup of those automorphisms extensible to automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$, and the subsemigroup of those automorphisms extensible to proper endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$.

Proof. The first claim follows from Proposition 3.1. Let u be a unitary in $\mathcal{O}_n$ such that λ_u is a proper endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$ and $\lambda_u(\mathcal{D}_n) = \mathcal{D}_n$ (cf. [3]). We claim that $(\lambda_u|_{\mathcal{D}_n})^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_n)$ is not extensible to an endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$. For let λ_v be such an extension. Then $\lambda_v \lambda_u(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{D}_n$ and thus, by Proposition 3.2, $\lambda_v \lambda_u$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$, a contradiction. A similar argument also shows that if two endomorphism λ_{u_1} and λ_{u_2} of $\mathcal{O}_n$ restrict to the same automorphism of $\mathcal{D}_n$ then they are either both automorphisms or both proper endomorphisms. $\square$

By the above, automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_n$ obtained by restriction of proper endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$ are necessarily not of product type.

Finally, we note that the subgroup $r(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{D}_n))$ is not normal in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_n)$. Indeed by Gelfand duality one has $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_n) \simeq \text{Homeo}(\mathcal{C})$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is the Cantor set, and the latter group is known to be simple.

References

- [1] R. J. Archbold, *On the ‘flip-flop’ automorphism of $C^*(S_1, S_2)$* , Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **30** (1979), 129–132.
- [2] R. Conti, C. Pinzari, *Remarks on endomorphisms of Cuntz algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **142** (1996), 369–405.
- [3] R. Conti, W. Szymański, *Labeled trees and localized automorphisms of the Cuntz algebras*, arXiv:0805.4654, to appear on Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [4] R. Conti, M. Rørdam, W. Szymański, *Endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_n$ which preserve the canonical UHF-subalgebra*, arXiv:0910.1304.
- [5] J. Cuntz, *Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries*, Commun. Math. Phys. **57** (1977), 173–185.
- [6] J. Cuntz, *Automorphisms of certain simple C^* -algebras*, in *Quantum fields-algebras-processes*, ed. L. Streit, Springer, 1980.
- [7] K. R. Davidson, *C^* -algebras by example*. Fields Institute Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc., 1996.
- [8] D. E. Evans, Y. Kawahigashi, *Quantum symmetries on operator algebras*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [9] J. G. Glimm, *On a certain class of operator algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** 1960, 318–340.
- [10] S. C. Power, *Homology for operator algebras, III. Partial isometry homotopy and triangular algebras*, New York J. Math. **4** (1998), 35–56.

Roberto Conti
Dipartimento di Scienze
Università di Chieti-Pescara “G. D’Annunzio”
Viale Pindaro 42, I-65127 Pescara, Italy
E-mail: conti@sci.unich.it